

## TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY STATEMENT

### INTRODUCTION

#### Background

Treasury management is concerned with planning cash flow, investing surplus cash and arranging borrowing if needed.

#### Reporting requirements

Treasury management is reported to Council, Cabinet and Governance throughout the year as follows -

**Prudential and treasury indicators and treasury strategy** (this report) – Must be approved by Council, it covers:

- the capital plans (including prudential indicators);
- a minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy (how residual capital expenditure is charged to revenue over time);
- the treasury management strategy (how the investments and borrowings are to be organised) including treasury indicators; and
- an investment strategy (the parameters on how investments are to be managed).

**Quarterly management reports** – Reports to Governance update the progress of the capital position, amending prudential indicators as necessary, and whether the treasury strategy is meeting the strategy or whether any policies require revision.

**An annual treasury report** – This provides details of a selection of actual prudential and treasury indicators and actual treasury operations compared to the estimates within the strategy.

#### Treasury Management Strategy for 2015/16

The strategy for 2015/16 covers two main areas:

##### Capital issues

- the capital plans and the prudential indicators;
- the minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy.

##### Treasury management issues

- the current treasury position;
- treasury indicators which limit the treasury risk and activities of the Council;
- prospects for interest rates;
- the borrowing strategy;
- policy on borrowing in advance of need;
- debt rescheduling;
- the investment strategy;
- creditworthiness policy; and
- policy on use of external service providers.

## Appendix 1

These elements cover the requirements of the Local Government Act 2003, the CIPFA Prudential Code, CLG MRP Guidance, the CIPFA Treasury Management Code and CLG Investment Guidance.

### THE CAPITAL PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS 2015/16 – 2017/18

#### Capital expenditure

This prudential indicator is a summary of the Council's capital expenditure plans, both those agreed previously, and those forming part of this budget cycle. Members are asked to approve the capital expenditure forecasts:

	2013/14 Actual £000	2014/15 Estimate £000	2015/16 Estimate £000	2016/17 Estimate £000	2017/18 Estimate £000
<b>Capital expenditure:</b>					
General Fund	1,737	11,132	4,915	1,795	1,100
HRA	4,338	7,327	7,127	660	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,075</b>	<b>18,459</b>	<b>12,042</b>	<b>2,455</b>	<b>1,100</b>
<b>Financed by:</b>					
Capital receipts	273	1,073	1,820	410	0
Capital grants	1,388	9,053	2,409	1,348	1,100
Capital reserves	61	3,020	6,102	697	0
Revenue	4,353	5,313	1,711	0	0
<b>Net financing need for the year</b>	<b>6,075</b>	<b>18,459</b>	<b>12,042</b>	<b>2,455</b>	<b>1,100</b>

#### The Council's borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement)

The second prudential indicator is the Council's Capital Financing Requirement (CFR). The CFR is simply the total historic outstanding capital expenditure which has not yet been paid for from either revenue or capital resources. It is essentially a measure of the Council's underlying borrowing need. Any capital expenditure above, which has not immediately been paid for, will increase the CFR.

The CFR does not increase indefinitely, as the minimum revenue provision (MRP) is a statutory annual revenue charge which broadly reduces the borrowing need in line with each asset's life.

The Council is asked to approve the CFR projections below:

Capital Financing Requirement	2013/14 Actual £000	2014/15 Estimate £000	2015/16 Estimate £000	2016/17 Estimate £000	2017/18 Estimate £000
CFR – non housing	11,685	11,685	11,685	11,685	11,685
CFR – housing	86,548	86,548	86,548	86,548	86,548
<b>Total CFR</b>	<b>98,233</b>	<b>98,233</b>	<b>98,233</b>	<b>98,233</b>	<b>98,233</b>
<b>Movement in CFR</b>	-	-	-	-	-

#### Minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy statement

The Council is required to pay off an element of the accumulated General Fund capital spend each year (the CFR) through a revenue charge (the minimum revenue provision -

# Appendix 1

MRP), although it is also allowed to undertake additional voluntary payments if required (voluntary revenue provision - VRP).

CLG regulations have been issued which require the full Council to approve an MRP Statement in advance of each year. A variety of options are provided to councils, so long as there is a prudent provision. The Council is recommended to approve the following MRP Statement:

For capital expenditure incurred before 1 April 2008 or which in the future will be Supported Capital Expenditure, the MRP policy will be chosen from the most appropriate on a case by case basis:

- **Existing practice** - MRP will follow the existing practice outlined in former CLG regulations;

From 1 April 2008 for all unsupported borrowing (including PFI and finance leases) the MRP policy will be:

- **Asset life method** – MRP will be based on the estimated life of the assets, in accordance with the regulations (this option must be applied for any expenditure capitalised under a Capitalisation Direction);
- **Depreciation method** – MRP will follow standard depreciation accounting procedures.

These options provide for a reduction in the borrowing need over approximately the asset's life.

There is no requirement on the HRA to make a minimum revenue provision but there is a requirement for a charge for depreciation to be made (although there are transitional arrangements in place).

## Core funds and expected investment balances

The application of resources (capital receipts, reserves etc.) to either finance capital expenditure or other budget decisions to support the revenue budget will have an on-going impact on investments unless resources are supplemented each year from new sources (asset sales etc.).

## Affordability prudential indicators

The previous sections cover the overall capital and control of borrowing prudential indicators, but within this framework prudential indicators are required to assess the affordability of the capital investment plans. These provide an indication of the impact of the capital investment plans on the Council's overall finances. The Council is asked to approve the following indicators:

## Ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream

This indicator identifies the trend in the cost of capital (borrowing and other long term obligation costs net of investment income) against the net revenue stream.

	2013/14 Actual	2014/15 Estimate	2015/16 Estimate	2016/17 Estimate	2017/18 Estimate
Non-HRA	0.33%	0.31%	0.33%	0.33%	0.33%
HRA	16.42%	17.93%	17.58%	17.23%	16.90%

## Appendix 1

The estimates of financing costs include current commitments and the proposals in this budget report.

### Incremental impact of capital investment decisions on Band D council tax

This indicator identifies the revenue costs associated with proposed changes to the three year capital programme recommended in this budget report compared to the Council's existing approved commitments and current plans. The assumptions are based on the budget, but will invariably include some estimates, such as the level of Government support, which are not published over a three year period.

	2013/14 Actual	2014/15 Estimate	2015/16 Estimate	2016/17 Estimate	2017/18 Estimate
<b>Council tax - band D</b>	£0.10	£0.13	£7.29	£0.04	£0.00

### Estimates of the incremental impact of capital investment decisions on housing rent levels

Similar to the council tax calculation, this indicator identifies the trend in the cost of proposed changes in the housing capital programme recommended in this budget report compared to the Council's existing commitments and current plans, expressed as a discrete impact on weekly rent levels.

£	2013/14 Actual	2014/15 Estimate	2015/16 Estimate	2016/17 Estimate	2017/18 Estimate
<b>Weekly housing rent levels</b>	£6.47	£11.29	£12.59	£0.06	£0.05

This indicator shows the revenue impact on any newly proposed changes, although any discrete impact will be constrained by rent controls.

### Borrowing

The capital expenditure plans set out in Section 2 provide details of the service activity of the Council. The treasury management function ensures that the Council's cash is organised in accordance with the relevant professional codes, so that sufficient cash is available to meet this service activity. This will involve both the organisation of the cash flow and, where capital plans require, the organisation of appropriate borrowing facilities. The strategy covers the relevant treasury / prudential indicators, the current and projected debt positions and the annual investment strategy.

### Current portfolio position

The Council's treasury portfolio position at 31 March 2014, with forward projections are summarised below. The table shows the actual external debt (the treasury management operations), against the underlying capital borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement - CFR), highlighting any over or under borrowing.

	2013/14 Actual £000	2014/15 Estimate £000	2015/16 Estimate £000	2016/17 Estimate £000	2017/18 Estimate £000
External Debt at 1 April	95,867	93,954	91,983	89,952	87,856
Expected change in Debt	1,913	1,971	2,031	2,096	2,163

## Appendix 1

	2013/14 Actual £000	2014/15 Estimate £000	2015/16 Estimate £000	2016/17 Estimate £000	2017/18 Estimate £000
<b>Actual gross debt at 31 March</b>	<b>93,954</b>	<b>91,983</b>	<b>89,952</b>	<b>87,856</b>	<b>85,693</b>
Capital Financing Requirement	98,233	98,233	98,233	98,233	98,233
<b>Under / (over) borrowing</b>	<b>4,279</b>	<b>6,250</b>	<b>8,281</b>	<b>10,377</b>	<b>12,540</b>

Within the prudential indicators there are a number of key indicators to ensure that the Council operates its activities within well-defined limits. One of these is that the Council needs to ensure that its gross debt does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of the CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional CFR for 2015/16 and the following two financial years. This allows some flexibility for limited early borrowing for future years, but ensures that borrowing is not undertaken for revenue purposes.

The Director of Finance, Housing & Community (Section 151 Officer) reports that the Council complied with this prudential indicator in the current year and does not envisage difficulties for the future. This view takes into account current commitments, existing plans, and the proposals in this budget report.

### Treasury Indicators: limits to borrowing activity

**The operational boundary** - This is the limit beyond which external debt is not normally expected to exceed. In most cases, this would be a similar figure to the CFR, but may be lower or higher depending on the levels of actual debt.

Operational boundary	2014/15 Estimate £000	2015/16 Estimate £000	2016/17 Estimate £000	2017/18 Estimate £000
Debt	108,000	108,000	108,000	108,000

**The authorised limit for external debt** - A further key prudential indicator represents a control on the maximum level of borrowing. This represents a limit beyond which external debt is prohibited, and this limit needs to be set or revised by the full Council. It reflects the level of external debt which, while not desired, could be afforded in the short term, but is not sustainable in the longer term.

1. This is the statutory limit determined under section 3 (1) of the Local Government Act 2003. The Government retains an option to control either the total of all councils' plans, or those of a specific council, although this power has not yet been exercised.
2. The Council is asked to approve the following authorised limit:

Authorised limit	2014/15 Estimate £000	2015/16 Estimate £000	2016/17 Estimate £000	2017/18 Estimate £000
General Fund Debt Limit	22,500	22,500	22,500	22,500
HRA Debt Limit	91,000	91,000	91,000	91,000
Total	113,500	113,500	113,500	113,500

### Prospects for interest rates

The Council has appointed Capita Asset Services as its treasury advisor and part of their service is to assist the Council to formulate a view on interest rates. The following table gives their central view.

## Appendix 1

Annual Average	Bank Rate	PWLB Borrowing Rates % (including certainty rate adjustment)		
	%	5 year	25 year	50 year
Mar 2015	0.50	2.20	3.40	3.40
Jun 2015	0.50	2.20	3.50	3.50
Sep 2015	0.50	2.30	3.70	3.70
Dec 2015	0.75	2.50	3.80	3.80
Mar 2016	0.75	2.60	4.00	4.00
Jun 2016	1.00	2.80	4.20	4.20
Sep 2016	1.00	2.90	4.30	4.30
Dec 2016	1.25	3.00	4.40	4.40
Mar 2017	1.25	3.20	4.50	4.50
Jun 2017	1.50	3.30	4.60	4.60
Sep 2017	1.75	3.40	4.70	4.70
Dec 2017	1.75	3.50	4.70	4.70
Mar 2018	2.00	3.60	4.80	4.80

### Economic Background (*Provided by Capita*)

*UK GDP growth surged during 2013 and the first half of 2014. Since then it appears to have subsided somewhat but still remains strong by UK standards and is expected to continue likewise into 2015 and 2016. There needs to be a significant rebalancing of the economy away from consumer spending to manufacturing, business investment and exporting in order for this recovery to become more firmly established. One drag on the economy has been that wage inflation has only recently started to exceed CPI inflation, so enabling disposable income and living standards to start improving. The plunge in the price of oil brought CPI inflation down to a low of 1.0% in November, the lowest rate since September 2002. Inflation is expected to stay around or below 1.0% for the best part of a year; this will help improve consumer disposable income and so underpin economic growth during 2015. However, labour productivity needs to improve substantially to enable wage rates to increase and further support consumer disposable income and economic growth. In addition, the encouraging rate at which unemployment has been falling must eventually feed through into pressure for wage increases, though current views on the amount of hidden slack in the labour market probably means that this is unlikely to happen early in 2015.*

*The US, the biggest world economy, has generated growth rates of 4.6% (annualised) in Q2 2014 and 5.0% in Q3. This is hugely promising for the outlook for strong growth going forwards and it very much looks as if the US is now firmly on the path of full recovery from the financial crisis of 2008. Consequently, it is now confidently expected that the US will be the first major western economy to start on central rate increases by mid 2015.*

*The current economic outlook and structure of market interest rates and government debt yields have several key treasury management implications:*

- Greece: the general election on 25 January 2015 is likely to bring a political party to power which is anti EU and anti austerity. However, if this eventually results in Greece leaving the Euro, it is unlikely that this will directly destabilise the Eurozone as the EU has put in place adequate firewalls to contain the immediate fallout to just Greece. However, the indirect effects of the likely strengthening of anti EU and anti austerity political parties throughout the EU is much more difficult to quantify;*
- As for the Eurozone in general, concerns in respect of a major crisis subsided considerably in 2013. However, the downturn in growth and inflation during the second half of 2014, and worries over the Ukraine situation, Middle East and Ebola, have led to a resurgence of those concerns as risks increase that it could be heading into deflation and prolonged very weak growth. Sovereign debt difficulties have not gone away and*

# Appendix 1

*major concerns could return in respect of individual countries that do not dynamically address fundamental issues of low growth, international uncompetitiveness and the need for overdue reforms of the economy (as Ireland has done). It is, therefore, possible over the next few years that levels of government debt to GDP ratios could continue to rise to levels that could result in a loss of investor confidence in the financial viability of such countries. Counterparty risks therefore remain elevated. This continues to suggest the use of higher quality counterparties for shorter time periods;*

- *Investment returns are likely to remain relatively low during 2015/16 and beyond;*
- *Borrowing interest rates have been volatile during 2014 as alternating bouts of good and bad news have promoted optimism, and then pessimism, in financial markets. The closing weeks of 2014 saw gilt yields dip to historically remarkably low levels after inflation plunged, a flight to quality from equities (especially in the oil sector), and from the debt and equities of oil producing emerging market countries, and an increase in the likelihood that the ECB will commence quantitative easing (purchase of EZ government debt) in early 2015. The policy of avoiding new borrowing by running down spare cash balances has served well over the last few years. However, this needs to be carefully reviewed to avoid incurring higher borrowing costs in later times, when authorities will not be able to avoid new borrowing to finance new capital expenditure and/or to refinance maturing debt.*

## **Borrowing strategy**

The Council is currently maintaining an under-borrowed position. This means that the capital borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement), has not been fully funded with loan debt as cash supporting the Council's reserves, balances and cash flow has been used as a temporary measure. This strategy is prudent as investment returns are low and counterparty risk is relatively high.

Against this background and the risks within the economic forecast, caution will be adopted with the 2015/16 treasury operations. The Director of Finance, Housing & Community will monitor interest rates in financial markets and adopt a pragmatic approach to changing circumstances:

- if it was felt that there was a significant risk of a sharp FALL in long and short term rates (e.g. due to a marked increase of risks around relapse into recession or of risks of deflation), then long term borrowings will be postponed, and potential rescheduling from fixed rate funding into short term borrowing will be considered.
- if it was felt that there was a significant risk of a much sharper RISE in long and short term rates than that currently forecast, perhaps arising from a greater than expected increase in the anticipated rate to US tapering of asset purchases, or in world economic activity or a sudden increase in inflation risks, then the portfolio position will be re-appraised with the likely action that fixed rate funding will be drawn whilst interest rates are still lower than they will be in the next few years.

Any decisions will be reported to the appropriate decision making body at the next available opportunity.

- Although not anticipated, if we do have to undertake borrowing in advance of need the Council will:
- Ensure that there is a clear link between the capital programme and maturity profile of the existing debt portfolio which supports the need to take funding in advance of need;

## Appendix 1

- Ensure the ongoing revenue liabilities created, and the implications for the future plans and budgets have been considered;
- Evaluate the economic and market factors that might influence the manner and timing of any decision to borrow;
- Consider the merits and demerits of alternative forms of funding;
- Consider the impact of borrowing in advance on temporarily (until required to finance capital expenditure) increasing investment cash balances and the consequent increase in exposure to counterparty risk, and other risks, and the level of such risks given the controls in place to minimise them.

### Treasury management limits on activity

There are three debt related treasury activity limits. The purpose of these are to restrain the activity of the treasury function within certain limits, thereby managing risk and reducing the impact of any adverse movement in interest rates. However, if these are set to be too restrictive they will impair the opportunities to reduce costs / improve performance. The indicators are:

- Upper limits on variable interest rate exposure. This identifies a maximum limit for variable interest rates based upon the debt position net of investments;
- Upper limits on fixed interest rate exposure. This is similar to the previous indicator and covers a maximum limit on fixed interest rates;
- Maturity structure of borrowing. These gross limits are set to reduce the Council's exposure to large fixed rate sums falling due for refinancing, and are required for upper and lower limits.

The Council is asked to approve the following treasury indicators and limits:

	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18
<b>Interest rate exposures</b>			
	<b>Upper</b>	<b>Upper</b>	<b>Upper</b>
<b>Limits on fixed interest rates based on net debt</b>	100%	100%	100%
<b>Limits on variable interest rates based on net debt</b>	30%	30%	30%
<b>Maturity structure of fixed interest rate borrowing 2015/16</b>			
	<b>Lower</b>	<b>Upper</b>	
Under 12 months	0%	50%	
12 months to 2 years	0%	50%	
2 years to 5 years	0%	50%	
5 years to 10 years	0%	100%	
10 years and above	0%	100%	

### Policy on borrowing in advance of need

The Council will not borrow more than or in advance of its needs purely in order to profit from the investment of the extra sums borrowed. Any decision to borrow in advance will be within forward approved Capital Financing Requirement estimates, and will be considered carefully to ensure that value for money can be demonstrated and that the Council can ensure the security of such funds.

### Debt rescheduling

As short term borrowing rates will be considerably cheaper than longer term fixed interest rates, there may be potential opportunities to generate savings by switching from long term debt to short term debt, redeem or reschedule existing debt. However,



# Appendix 1

these savings will need to be considered in the light of the current treasury position and the size of the cost of debt repayment (premiums incurred).

The reasons for any rescheduling to take place will include:

- the generation of cash savings and / or discounted cash flow savings;
- helping to fulfil the treasury strategy;
- enhance the balance of the portfolio (amend the maturity profile and/or the balance of volatility).

Consideration will also be given to identify if there is any residual potential for making savings by running down investment balances to repay debt prematurely as short term rates on investments are likely to be lower than rates paid on current debt. The Council periodically takes advice from Capita Asset Services on debt rescheduling options.

## **ANNUAL INVESTMENT STRATEGY**

### **Investment policy**

Following the withdrawal of Investec from the segregated funds market in April 2015, all of the Council's investments are managed by the in-house team. This investment strategy has been drawn up to reflect the Council's investment priorities, and also to provide the team with sufficient scope to spread the investment risk across a sufficiently wide number of banks and institutions.

The Council's investment policy has regard to the CLG's Guidance on Local Government Investments ("the Guidance") and the 2011 revised CIPFA Treasury Management in Public Services Code of Practice and Cross Sectoral Guidance Notes ("the CIPFA TM Code"). The Council's investment priorities will be security first, liquidity second and then return.

In accordance with guidance from the CLG and CIPFA, and in order to minimise the risk to investments, the Council has below clearly stipulated the minimum acceptable credit quality of counterparties for inclusion on the lending list. The creditworthiness methodology used to create the counterparty list fully accounts for the ratings, watches and outlooks published by all three ratings agencies with a full understanding of what these reflect in the eyes of each agency. Using our ratings service, potential counterparty ratings are monitored on a real time basis with knowledge of any changes notified electronically as the agencies notify modifications.

Furthermore, the Council's officers recognise that ratings should not be the sole determinant of the quality of an institution and that it is important to continually assess and monitor the financial sector on both a micro and macro basis and in relation to the economic and political environments in which institutions operate. The assessment will also take account of information that reflects the opinion of the markets. To this end the Council will engage with its advisors to maintain a monitor on market pricing such as "credit default swaps" and overlay that information on top of the credit ratings. This is fully integrated into the credit methodology provided by the advisors, Capita Asset Services in producing its colour codings which show the varying degrees of suggested creditworthiness.

Other information sources used will include the financial press, share price and other such information pertaining to the banking sector in order to establish the most robust scrutiny process on the suitability of potential investment counterparties.

# Appendix 1

The aim of the strategy is to generate a list of highly creditworthy counterparties which will also enable diversification and thus avoidance of concentration risk.

The intention of the strategy is to provide security of investment and minimisation of risk.

Investment instruments identified for use in the financial year are listed below. Counterparty limits will be as set through the Council's treasury management practices.

Institution	Type	Minimum Credit Criteria	% / Value	Max period
Debt Management Office (effectively the UK Government)	Deposit	N/A	100%	N/A
Other Local Authorities	Deposit	N/A	100%	2 year
UK Money Market Funds	Deposit	N/A	£5m	N/A
UK part nationalised banks	Deposit	Blue	£7.5m	1 year
NatWest	Deposit	Green	£10m	1 year
Other UK banks and building societies	Deposit	Green	£5m	100 days
Other UK banks and building societies	Deposit	Red	£5m	6 months
Other UK banks and building societies	Deposit	Orange	£7.5m	1 year
Non UK Banks	Deposit	Orange	£2m	1 year
Certificates of deposit issued by banks and building societies covered by UK government guarantee	Deposit	UK sovereign rating	£2m	1 year
UK Government Gilts	Deposit	UK Sovereign rating	£5m	10 years

For the purposes of the table above, in order to keep within the intended spirit of the maximum investment period, but avoid reporting trivial variances to Members, 6 months means "up to 186 days" and 1 year means "up to 370 days".

## Creditworthiness policy

This Council applies the creditworthiness criteria provided by Capita Asset Services. This employs a sophisticated modelling approach utilising credit ratings from the three main credit rating agencies - Fitch, Moody's and Standard and Poor's. The credit ratings of counterparties are supplemented with the following overlays:

- credit watches and credit outlooks from credit rating agencies;
- Credit Default Swaps (CDS) spreads to give early warning of likely changes in credit ratings;
- sovereign ratings to select counterparties from only the most creditworthy countries.

# Appendix 1

This modelling approach combines credit ratings, credit watches and credit outlooks in a weighted scoring system which is then combined with an overlay of CDS spreads for which the end product is a series of colour coded bands which indicate the relative creditworthiness of counterparties. These colour codes are used by the Council to determine the suggested duration for investments. The Council will therefore use counterparties within the following durational bands :

- Blue 1 year (only applies to nationalised or semi nationalised UK Banks)
- Orange 1 year
- Red 6 months
- Green 100 days
- No colour not to be used

The Capita Asset Services creditworthiness criteria use a wider array of information than just primary ratings and by using a risk weighted scoring system, does not give undue preponderance to just one agency's ratings.

Typically the minimum credit ratings criteria the Council use will be a short term rating (Fitch or equivalents) of F1, long term rating A-, viability rating of A-, and a support rating of 1. There may be occasions when the counterparty ratings from one rating agency are marginally lower than these ratings but may still be used. In these instances consideration will be given to the whole range of ratings available, or other topical market information, to support their use.

All credit ratings will be monitored *weekly*. The Council is alerted to changes to ratings of all three agencies through its use of our creditworthiness service.

- if a downgrade results in the counterparty / investment scheme no longer meeting the Council's minimum criteria, its further use as a new investment will be withdrawn immediately.
- in addition to the use of credit ratings the Council will be advised of information in movements in credit default swap spreads against the iTraxx benchmark and other market data on a weekly basis. Extreme market movements may result in downgrade of an institution or removal from the Council's lending list.

Sole reliance will not be placed on the use of this external service. In addition this Council will also use market data and market information, information on government support for banks and the credit ratings of that supporting government.

## Country limits

The Council has determined that it will only consider using approved counterparties from countries with a minimum sovereign credit rating of AA+ from Fitch . The list of countries that qualify using this credit criteria as at the date of this report are shown below.

# Appendix 1

## AAA

- *Australia*
- *Canada*
- *Denmark*
- *Germany*
- *Luxembourg*
- *Norway*
- *Singapore*
- *Switzerland*
- *Sweden*

## AA+

- *Netherlands*
- *Hong Kong*
- *Finland*
- *U.S.A*

This list will be added to, or deducted from, by officers should ratings change in accordance with this policy. The UK will be excluded from any stipulated minimum sovereign rating requirement.

Banks that are incorporated in the UK are classed as UK banks, banks that are incorporated outside the UK, but are authorised by the Bank of England to take deposits in the UK are classed as non-UK banks. The Council will only consider using non-UK banks from countries that appear on the above list that are considered safe e.g Canada or Australia. This is due to the fact that they are not covered by the UK government guarantees.

## Investment strategy

Investments will be made with reference to the core balance and cash flow requirements and the outlook for short-term interest rates (i.e. rates for investments up to 12 months).

Investments are currently limited to UK banks/Building Societies, and non-UK banks authorised to take deposits in the UK, where deposits may be made in sterling so long as they pass our UK credit-worthiness checks; a maximum of £5m can be invested per institution that has been given a green rating by Capita (up to 100 days), a maximum of £5m can be invested per institution that has been given a red rating by Capita (up to six months), £7.5m can be invested per institution that has been given an orange or blue rating by Capita (up to one year) with the exception of the Council's operational bank where the limit will be £10m to cover short term fluctuations in cash flow. No more than £2m can be invested with non-UK banks.

**Investment treasury indicator and limit** - total principal funds invested for greater than 364 days. These limits are set with regard to the Council's liquidity requirements and to reduce the need for early sale of an investment, and are based on the availability of funds after each year-end.

The Council is asked to approve the treasury indicator and limit: -

<b>Maximum principal sums invested &gt; 364 days</b>			
	<b>2015/16</b>	<b>2016/17</b>	<b>2017/18</b>
Principal sums invested > 364 days	£25m	£25m	£25m

# Appendix 1

For its cash flow generated balances, the Council will seek to utilise its business reserve instant access and notice accounts, money market funds and short-dated deposits (overnight to 100 days) in order to benefit from the compounding of interest.

## Investment risk benchmarking

These benchmarks are simple guides to maximum risk, so they may be breached from time to time, depending on movements in interest rates and counterparty criteria. The purpose of the benchmark is that officers will monitor the current and trend position and amend the operational strategy to manage risk as conditions change. Any breach of the benchmarks will be reported, with supporting reasons in the mid-year or Annual Report.

**Security** - The Council's maximum security risk benchmark for the current portfolio, when compared to these historic default tables, is:

- 1.25% historic risk of default when compared to the whole portfolio.
- **Liquidity** – in respect of this area the Council seeks to maintain:
  - Bank overdraft - £0.50m
  - Liquid short term deposits of at least £1m available with a week's notice.

**Yield** - local measures of yield benchmarks are:

- Investments – internal returns above the 7 day LIBID rate

## End of year investment report

At the end of the financial year, the Council will report on its investment activity as part of its Annual Treasury Report.

## Treasury management scheme of delegation

### (i) Full Council

- receiving and reviewing reports on treasury management policies, practices and activities;
- approval of annual strategy.

### (ii) Cabinet

- approval of/amendments to the organisation's adopted clauses, treasury management policy statement and treasury management practices;
- budget consideration and approval;
- approval of the division of responsibilities;
- receiving and reviewing regular monitoring reports and acting on recommendations;
- approving the selection of external service providers and agreeing terms of appointment.

### (iii) Governance Committee

- receiving and reviewing reports on treasury management policies, practices and activities;
- reviewing the treasury management policy and procedures and making recommendations to the responsible body.

# Appendix 1

## **The treasury management role of the Director of Finance, Housing & Community (section 151 officer):**

- recommending clauses, treasury management policy/practices for approval, reviewing the same regularly, and monitoring compliance;
- submitting regular treasury management policy reports;
- submitting budgets and budget variations;
- receiving and reviewing management information reports;
- reviewing the performance of the treasury management function;
- ensuring the adequacy of treasury management resources and skills, and the effective division of responsibilities within the treasury management function;
- ensuring the adequacy of internal audit, and liaising with external audit;
- recommending the appointment of external service providers.